

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,638	05/03/2005	Hermann Beck	P70527US0 1795	
	7590 06/22/2007 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH	STREET N.W.	PATTERSON, MARIE D		
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

H	
	Applicant(s)
	DEOK HEDNAM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/533,638	BECK, HERMANN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marie Patterson	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/8/06</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/533,638

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 2

1. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 the phrases "such as, e.g., ...or the like", "a tip or front cap", "a heel or rear cap", "preferably gued", and "designed as a preformed...", in claim 2 the phrases "the tip and/or heel caps" and "being connected with each other", in claim 3 the phrases "on the one hand.." and "on the other hand...", in claim 5 the phrase "Strobel seam or by gluing or the like", in claim 9 the phrases "optionally provided..", "preferably...", and "by a seam or by gluing" are vague, indefinite, alternative, confusing, and it is not clear what positive limitations are being claimed.

In claim 10 the phrase "are connected on the side of the last" is confusing, vague, and indefinite.

In claim 11 the phrase "horizontally separated" is confusing, vague, indefinite, and not understood.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hockerson (4322895).

Application/Control Number: 10/533,638

Art Unit: 3728

Hockerson shows a shoe comprising an upper (22), a front cap (shown in figure 5), a heel cap 26), a sole part (30), and a wedge (32) as claimed.

4. Claims 1-7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kurrash (4580359).

Kurrash shows a shoe comprising an upper (10), a front cap (26), a heel cap (24), a sole part (34 and 36), and a wedge (38) as claimed.

5. Claims 1-7, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark (6205683).

Clark shows a shoe comprising an upper (10), a front and heel cap (shown in figure 7), a sole part (40 and 50), and a wedge (20) as claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (2003/0208931) in view of Clark or Kurrash.

Chen shows a shoe comprising an upper (50), a sole part (20), and a wedge (80/30) with a wedge thereon (at 91) for the upper and an insole (102) substantially as claimed except for a front cap and heel cap. Either Clark or Kurrash teaches the well known and conventional use of front and heel caps. It would have been obvious to provide a front and heel cap as taught by either Clark or Kurrash as is well known and conventional in

Application/Control Number: 10/533,638

Art Unit: 3728

the shoe of Chen to provide increase support and strength at the toe and heel portions of the shoe.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(571)273-8300</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728 Page 4